

**A HYBRID HAPTIC STIMULATION PROSTHETIC WEARABLE  
DEVICE TO RECOVER THE MISSING SENSATION OF THE UPPER  
LIMB AMPUTEES**

**MOHAMMAD NAJEH NEMAH**

A thesis submitted in  
fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the  
Doctor of Philosophy.



Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering  
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

September 2019

## DEDICATION

To the lighthouse of science, Great Prophet Mohammad peace be upon him.

To my family, wife, and sons, Ameer and Laith (Nunu). You have given me a lifetime of love, support, and laughter. You are all God-sent and enrich my life.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, praise and thanksgiving to Allah for the blessing of mind and health and unwavering support to complete this thesis. In addition, I would like to express my utmost gratitude and appreciation to my main supervisor Prof. Madya Ir. Dr. Cheng Yee Low for his guidance, encouragement, and assistance throughout this amazing research journey. My sincere appreciation also extends to my co-supervisors Prof. Madya Dr. Ong Pauline for her assistance and encouragement.

The special feeling of gratitude to my loving parents whose words of encouragement and push for tenacity ring in my ears. Moreover, special thanks to my lovely wife for her continuous support throughout my life. My appreciation also goes to my dear sisters and brothers, they have never left my side and are very special.



PTTAUTAM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

## ABSTRACT

A hybrid haptic feedback stimulation system that is capable in sensing the contact pressure, the surface texture, and the temperature, simultaneously, was designed for a prosthetic hand to provide a tactile sensation to amputation patients. In addition, the haptic system was developed to enable the prosthetic's users to implement withdrawal reflexes due to the thermal noxious stimulus in a quick manner. The re-sensation is achieved by non-invasively stimulating the skin of the patients' residual limbs, based on the type and the level of tactile signals provided by the sensory system of the prostheses. Accordingly, three stages of design and development were performed to satisfy the research methodology. A vibrotactile prosthetic device, which is designed for the detection of contact pressure and surface texture in upper extremity, represents. While, the design of a novel wearable hybrid pressure-vibration haptic feedback stimulation device for conveying the tactile information regarding the contact pressure between the prosthetic hand and the grasped objects represents the second methodology stage. Lastly, the third stage was achieved by designing a novel hybrid pressure-vibration-temperature feedback stimulation system to provide a huge information regarding the prostheses environment to the users without brain confusing or requiring long pre-training. The main contribution of this work is the development and evaluation of the first step of a novel approach for a lightweight, 7 Degrees-Of-Freedom (DOF) tactile prosthetic arm to perform an effective as well as fast object manipulation and grasping. Furthermore, this study investigates the ability to convey the tactile information about the contact pressure, surface texture, and object temperature to the amputees with high identification accuracy by mean of using the designed hybrid pressure-vibration-temperature feedback wearable device. An evaluation of sensation and response has been conducted on forty healthy volunteers to evaluate the ability of the haptic system to stimulate the human nervous system. The results in term of Stimulus Identification Rate (SIR) show that all the volunteers were correctly able to discriminate the sensation of touch, start of touch, end of touch, and



grasping objects. While 94%, 96%, 97%, and 95.24% of the entire stimuli were successfully identified by the volunteers during the experiments of slippage, pressure level, surface texture, and temperature, respectively. The position tracking controller system was designed to synchronize the movements of the volunteers' elbow joints and the prosthetic's elbow joint to record the withdrawal reflexes. The results verified the ability of the haptic system to excite the human brain at the abnormal noxious stimulus and enable the volunteers to perform a quick withdrawal reflex within 0.32 sec. The test results and the volunteers' response established evidence that amputees are able to recover their sense of the contact pressure, the surface texture, and the object temperature as well as to perform thermal withdrawal reflexes using the solution developed in this work.



## ABSTRAK

Sistem stimulasi maklum balas hibrid yang dapat mengenal pasti tekanan sentuhan, tekstur permukaan, dan suhu pada masa operasi yang sama direka untuk tangan prostetik bagi memberikan sensasi sentuhan kepada pesakit amputasi. Di samping itu, sistem haptik telah dibina untuk membolehkan pengguna prostetik melaksanakan tindakbalas refleks yang disebabkan oleh rangsangan haba dengan pantas. Sensasi semula dicapai dengan merangsang sisa kulit anggota pesakit secara tidak-invasif, berdasarkan jenis dan tahap isyarat sentuhan yang diberikan oleh sistem deria prostesis. Oleh itu, tiga peringkat reka bentuk dan pembangunan telah dilakukan untuk memenuhi metodologi tesis ini. Reka bentuk alat prostetik secara sentuhan-vibro, yang direka bentuk untuk mengesan tekanan sentuhan dan tekstur permukaan di hujung atas, mewakili tahap metodologi pertama. Dalam pada itu, reka bentuk rangsangan hibrid tekanan-getaran yang baru bagi menyampaikan maklumat sentuhan tentang tekanan hubungan antara tangan prostetik dan objek yang dipegang mewakili tahap metodologi kedua. Akhir sekali, tahap ketiga dicapai dengan mereka bentuk sistem stimulasi maklum balas hibrid suhu-getaran-tekanan baru bagi memberikan lebih maklumat tentang persekitaran prostesis kepada para pengguna tanpa membingungkan otak atau memerlukan pra-latihan yang lama. Sumbangan utama kerja ini ialah pembangunan dan penilaian langkah pertama pendekatan baru untuk lengan prostetik yang ringan dengan 7 Darjah-Kebebasan (DOF) bagi melaksanakan manipulasi dan gengaman objek yang efektif. Selain daripada itu, keupayaan untuk menyampaikan maklumat sentuhan mengenai tekanan hubungan, tekstur permukaan, dan suhu objek dengan ketepatan pengenalan yang tinggi dari sudut maklum balas hibrid suhu-tekanan-getaran min peranti. Penilaian sensasi dan tindak balas telah dijalankan ke atas empat puluh subjek yang sihat untuk menilai keupayaan sistem haptik untuk merangsang sistem saraf manusia. Keputusan dari segi Kadar Pengenalan Rangsangan (SIR) membuktikan bahawa semua peserta dapat membezakan dengan tepat sensasi sentuhan, awal sentuhan, akhir sentuhan, dan gengaman objek. Walau bagaimana

pun, 94%, 96%, 97%, dan 95.24% keseluruhan rangsangan telah berjaya dikenal pasti oleh para sukarelawan semasa percubaan gelinciran, tahap tekanan, tekstur permukaan, dan suhu, masing-masing. Sebaliknya, sistem pengawal kedudukan direka untuk menyelaraskan pergerakan sendi siku sukarelawan dan sendi siku prostetik untuk mencatatkan tindakbalas refleks. Dapatan kajian mengesahkan keupayaan sistem haptik untuk merangsang otak manusia tentang rangsangan yang tidak normal dan membolehkan para sukarelawan melakukan tindakbalas refleks yang cepat dalam masa 0.32 saat. Keputusan ujian dan tindak balas sukarelawan membuktikan bahawa pesakit kudung dapat mengembalikan rasa tekanan hubungan, tekstur permukaan, dan suhu objek, dan juga untuk melakukan tindakbalas refleks terma menggunakan penyelesaian yang dibangunkan dalam kerja ini.



PTTA UTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

## CONTENTS

<b>TITLE</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>VI</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>VI</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>VII</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>VIII</b>
<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>XII</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>XVIII</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>XXVIII</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>XXV</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>XXVIII</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>XXXI</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Classification and development of the upper limb prostheses	2
1.3 The concept of the haptic feedback stimulation system	5
1.4 The classification of haptic feedback stimulation system	9
1.5 Withdrawal reflex of the human's upper limb	12
1.6 Problem statement	13
1.7 Objectives	16
1.8 Scopes	17
1.9 Thesis Outline	20

<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>23</b>
2.1	Introduction	23
2.2	Method	25
2.3	Results and statistical information of articles	28
2.3.1	Review and survey articles	30
2.3.2	Demands of feedback stimulation system	31
2.3.3	Tactile Sensory system	32
2.3.4	Feedback stimulation system	42
2.3.5	Tactile-haptic completely feedback stimulation system	52
2.4	Discussion	63
2.4.1	The domain of the previous studies	63
2.4.2	The research progress over the years	64
2.4.3	The instruments used in designing the haptic prosthetic hand	66
2.4.4	The setup position of the sensory and feedback stimulation systems	66
2.4.5	The volunteer in the previous studies	68
2.4.6	Challenges	70
2.5	Summary	72
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>VIBRATION FEEDBACK STIMULATION SYSTEM</b>	<b>74</b>
3.1	Introduction	74
3.2	Design conception and fabrication of the vibrotactile haptic feedback stimulation system	78
3.2.1	Tactile sensory system	81
3.2.2	Haptic vibration feedback stimulation system	82
3.3	Computer system	86
3.4	Experimental setup and procedure	88



3.4.1	Touch, touch location, and pressure level detection experiments	89
3.4.2	Surface detection experiment test	93
3.5	Experiment results and discussion	95
3.5.1	Experiment of pressure sensory system	95
3.5.2	Experiment of vibration sensory system	100
3.5.3	Evaluation of the functionality of the haptic vibration feedback stimulation system	101
3.5.4	User experience evaluation for vibrotactile haptic system	102
3.6	Summary	106

## **CHAPTER 4 HYBRID PRESSURE - VIBRATION**

### **FEEDBACK STIMULATION SYSTEM 108**

4.1	Introduction	108
4.2	Design concept of haptic feedback stimulation system	110
4.2.1	Design concept of the tactile pressure sensory system	111
4.2.2	Design concept of hybrid pressure-vibration wearable feedback device	113
4.3	Fabrication of haptic feedback stimulation system	113
4.3.1	Fabrication of tactile pressure sensory system	113
4.3.2	Fabrication of hybrid pressure-vibration feedback wearable device	118
4.4	Interfacing the haptic wearable device with the computer system	120
4.5	The evaluation and functionality tests	120
4.6	Experimental setup and procedure	122
4.7	Experiment results and discussion	126

4.7.1	Experiment of the tactile pressure sensory system	126
4.7.2	Experiment of the hybrid pressure-vibration wearable feedback device	128
4.7.3	User experience evaluation for the hybrid pressure-vibration feedback stimulation system	132
4.8	Summary	133

## **CHAPTER 5    HYBRID PRESSURE – VIBRATION - THERMAL FEEDBACK STIMULATION SYSTEM**

		<b>134</b>
5.1	Introduction	134
5.2	Design conception of the tactile feedback prosthetic arm	135
5.2.1	Design conception of the tactile prosthetic arm	137
5.2.2	Design conception of the hybrid tactile sensing system	139
5.2.3	Design conception of the hybrid haptic wearable device	140
5.3	Fabrication of the tactile feedback prosthetic arm	141
5.3.1	Fabrication of the tactile prosthetic arm	143
5.3.2	Fabrication of the hybrid tactile sensory system	148
5.3.3	Fabrication of the hybrid haptic wearable device	153
5.4	Implementation of the arm's withdrawal reflex	159
5.5	Design of the elbow joint's tracking system	162
5.6	Experimental setup and procedure	165
5.6.1	Contact pressure detection experiment	166

5.6.2	Pressure level detection experiment	168
5.6.3	Surface texture detection experiment	170
5.6.4	Temperature and noxious stimulus detection experiment	171
5.7	Results and discussion	175
5.7.1	Evaluation of the elbow joint's tracking system	176
5.7.2	Evaluation of pressure level detection experiment	176
5.7.3	Evaluation of surface texture detection experiment	179
5.7.4	Evaluation of temperature and noxious stimulus detection experiment	180
5.7.5	User experience evaluation for hybrid haptic feedback stimulation system	185
5.8	Summary	189
<b>CHAPTER 6</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS</b>	<b>191</b>
6.1	Conclusions	191
6.2	Research contributions	195
6.3	Recommendations for the future works	197
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>199</b>
	<b>Appendix (A)</b>	<b>222</b>





**LIST OF TABLES**

2.1	The acceptable number range of the engaging volunteers.	70
3.1	Decision table for the pressure sensors.	99
6.1	Comparison between the stimuli identification rate of the current study with the previous works.	196



## LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	Prosthetic arm development: a) cosmetic prostheses [4], b) mechanical prostheses [5], c) myoelectric prostheses [6], and d) interactive prostheses [7].	3
1.2	Signal flow's block diagram of myoelectric prostheses.	4
1.3	The concept of the haptic feedback stimulation system.	6
1.4	Somatosensory receptors of the human skin [13].	8
1.5	The classification of haptic feedback stimulation system: a) tactile sensory system, and b) haptic feedback stimulators.	10
1.6	The thermal withdrawal reflex of human's upper arm [39].	13
1.7	The research gap.	16
1.8	The scope of this study using K-chart.	19
1.9	Thesis outline.	22
2.1	Haptic feedback and feedforward control loops for upper limb prostheses.	24
2.2	Flowchart of study selection, including the search query and inclusion criteria.	27
2.3	Taxonomy of literatures on the haptic upper limb prostheses.	29
2.4	The importance of feedback of the sensory information to amputees [68].	32
2.5	Prosthetic hand equipped with BioTac sensors grasping an egg [73].	34
2.6	The data glove wear out by the human's right hand with 54 tactile cells [74].	35
2.7	A myoelectric prosthetic hand equipped with a slip detection sensor unit [83].	36

2.8	Experimental setup for measuring contact acceleration data [26].	39
2.9	Wireless temperature sensors adding to Otto Bock prosthetic hand [103].	40
2.10	The components of hybrid tactile sensory glove [105].	41
2.11	Vibrotactile rehabilitation stimulation system [118].	44
2.12	The design assembly and the main dimensions of the wearable rotational skin stretch actuator [131].	46
2.13	The MaxSens electro feedback display attached to the amputee's forearm. 1) Multiplexing unit, 2) Multi-pad Electrode, and 3) 16 circle-shaped cathode electrode [146].	48
2.14	Force sensory system with pressure feedback display: a) tactile glove with piezoresistive force sensors in each fingertip, and b) Silicone-based pneumatically controlled balloon stimulator on each fingertip [168].	53
2.15	The stimulation response during holding the empty bottle [20].	55
2.16	Skin stretch feedback display: a) CAD assembly. b) The skin stretch actuator fixed on the patient's upper limb [18].	56
2.17	CUFF wearable device installing on the patient's upper arm [33].	57
2.18	The different surfaces texture arranged on the rotational platter [24].	58
2.19	The MT and VT displays on the prosthetic hand: a) the experimental setup during the mechano-tactile experiments, b) Miniaturized vibrators actuators, and c) digital servomotors mechanical actuator [17].	61
2.20	The haptic multi feedback display: a) the stimulator setup on the user's upper arm, and b) scheme of the squeeze actuator [190].	62
2.21	Number of studies per application domain.	64
2.22	Number of included articles by year of publication.	65

2.23	Percentage of sensors and actuators setup positions: a) using sensors positions, and b) using actuators positions.	67
2.24	Volunteer of the previous studies: a) type of the used hands, and b) type of the tested volunteers.	69
3.1	The essential research methodology flow chart.	76
3.2	General description of the main domain of the vibration feedback stimulation system.	78
3.3	The structure of the proposed vibration feedback stimulation system.	79
3.4	The structure of a haptic feedback stimulation system.	80
3.5	The distribution of the tactile sensors over the prosthetic hand.	81
3.6	Fabrication of the vibration sensory system: a) vibration sensor, and b) vibration sensor's connection circuit.	83
3.7	The distribution of LRA motors over the wearable haptic device.	84
3.8	Fabrication of the haptic vibration stimulation system: a) single actuator, and b) wearable device.	85
3.9	Voltage divider circuit with LM 358 Op-Amp: a) schematic of the electrical circuit, and b) animation of the electrical circuit.	87
3.10	Control circuit for of LRA vibration actuator: a) schematic of the electrical circuit, and b) animation of the electrical circuit.	88
3.11	Test bench set-up: the pressure sensor located under the varying loads.	89
3.12	A curved surface artificial fingertip: a) CATIA model, and b) the 3D printing prototype.	90
3.13	A flattened surface artificial fingertip: a) CATIA model, and b) the 3D printing prototype.	91
3.14	Experimental set-up: the evaluation of the touch, the touch location, and the pressure level detection tests.	92
3.15	Experimental set-up: a) a rotatable platter with three various textured surfaces, and b) the evaluation of the surface texture detection test.	94

3.16	The performance of the pressure sensors when fixed on a flat surface without artificial fingertip: a) output voltage vs. the applied force, and b) resistance change vs. the applied force.	96
3.17	The performance of the pressure sensors when fixed on a rounded surface artificial fingertip: a) output voltage vs. the applied force, and b) resistance change vs. the applied force.	97
3.18	The performance of the pressure sensors when fixed on a flattened surface artificial fingertip: a) output voltage vs. the applied force, and b) resistance change vs. the applied force.	98
3.19	The noisy response of the vibration sensor that was connected without filter.	100
3.20	The output response of the vibration sensor with filter, when the sensor slipped over: a) sand surface, b) stone surface, and c) matchsticks surface.	101
3.21	Detection accuracy rate of the volunteers' response.	102
3.22	Confusion matrix shows the statistical analysis of the touch detection test.	103
3.23	Confusion matrix showing the statistical analysis of the touch location detection test.	104
3.24	Confusion matrix showing the statistical analysis of the pressure level detection test.	105
3.25	Confusion matrix showing the statistical analysis of the surface texture detection test.	106
4.1	General description of the main domain of the hybrid pressure-vibration feedback stimulation system.	109
4.2	The structure of the proposed hybrid pressure-vibration feedback stimulation system.	110
4.3	Design conception of a pressure sensory system.	112
4.4	Design concept of a HHPVFSS.	114
4.5	Equipping the InMoov prosthetic arm with the tactile pressure sensory system.	116
4.6	Calibrating the pressure sensory system.	117



4.7	The performance of the pressure sensors vs. the applied load.	118
4.8	The fabrication of HHPVFSS: a) rear view, and b) inside view.	119
4.9	Connecting the actuators of the haptic wearable device with the Arduino microcontroller.	121
4.10	Evaluation of slippage detection experiment.	122
4.11	Experimental setup: the volunteer wore HHPVFSS with his right hand.	123
4.12	Touch detection test.	124
4.13	Gasping detection test when the prosthetic arm: a) grasps a ball, and b) shake hands with the experimenter.	125
4.14	The responses of the pressure sensors when the volunteers shook hands.	127
4.15	The responses of the pressure sensors when the hanging weight increased gradually.	128
4.16	The relationship between the wearable device's actuators and the largest instant sensory signal.	129
4.17	The vibration motor input signal during 1 sec.	130
4.18	The responses of the sensors and stimulators when the hanging weight increased gradually.	131
4.19	Stimuli identification rate of the able-body volunteers.	132
5.1	General description of the main domain of the hybrid pressure-vibration-thermal feedback stimulation system.	136
5.2	The structure of the proposed hybrid pressure-vibration-thermal feedback stimulation system.	137
5.3	Design conception of the tactile prosthetic arm.	138
5.4	Design concept of the hybrid tactile sensory system.	140
5.5	Design conception of the hybrid haptic wearable device.	142
5.6	Fabrication of the tactile prosthetic arm: a) front view, and b) rear view.	144
5.7	The driving system of the fingers and the wrist joint.	145
5.8	Free body diagrams of the: a) elbow joint's gear system, and b) prosthetic index finger driving system.	146

5.9	Fabrication of the hybrid tactile sensory system.	149
5.10	Calibrating setup of the tactile pressure sensors.	150
5.11	The relationship between the pressure sensor output voltage vs. the applied load.	151
5.12	Connection the LM35 temperature sensor with Arduino microcontroller.	152
5.13	Fabrication of the HHPVFSS: a) front view, and b) inside view.	154
5.14	Fabrication of the HHTTFSS: a) front view, and b) inside view.	156
5.15	Voltage regulator control board.	157
5.16	The relationship between the regulator output voltage vs. servomotor angular position.	158
5.17	Block diagram of voltage regulator controller.	159
5.18	The behaviour of the hybrid haptic system under the normal and abnormal operations.	160
5.19	The signals flow at the abnormal high temperature noxious stimulus.	161
5.20	The proposed tracking system between the volunteer's arm and the prosthetic arm.	162
5.21	Block diagram of the elbow joint tracking system.	163
5.22	The calibration of the elbow joint's angular position: a) the volunteer arm, and b) the prosthetic arm.	164
5.23	Experimental set-up: evaluating the effectivity of the haptic wearable device to convey the tactile information.	166
5.24	Contact pressure experiment: a) touch detection, and b) grasp detection.	167
5.25	Contact pressure experiment: slippage detection.	168
5.26	A virtual training phase pressure level excitation.	169
5.27	A virtual training phase surface texture excitation.	170
5.28	Experimental set-up: the temperature thresholds specification.	172
5.29	A virtual varying temperature thresholds excitation.	173

5.30	The procedures of noxious stimulus detection experiment.	174
5.31	The angle responses of the flexible bending sensor and the potentiometer sensor: a) the comparison, and b) the deviation.	176
5.32	The relationship between the excitations of the pressure and vibration FSS and the largest instant sensory signal.	177
5.33	The evaluation results of the pressure level detection experiment.	178
5.34	The excitations signals of the surface texture FSS.	179
5.35	The evaluation results of the surface texture detection experiment.	180
5.36	The relationship between the excitations of the pressure and vibration FSS and the dangerous signal.	182
5.37	The evaluation results of temperature and noxious stimulus detection experiment.	184
5.38	The behaviour of the tracking system during implementation of the withdrawal reflex.	185
5.39	Detection accuracy rate of the volunteers' response.	186
5.40	Confusion matrix showing the statistical analysis of the pressure level detection experiment.	187
5.41	Confusion matrix showing the statistical analysis of the surface texture detection experiment.	188
5.42	Confusion matrix showing the statistical analysis of the temperature and noxious stimulus detection experiment.	189





## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADL	- Activities of Daily Living
ADC	- Analog-to-digital convertor.
AC	- Alternating current.
ABS	- Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene.
BBM	- A Beam Bundle Model.
BLE	- Bluetooth low energy.
CAC	- Common anode configuration.
CEC	- Concentric electrode configuration.
CUFF	- Clenching Upper-limb Force Feedback device.
CA	- Charge Amplifier.
CAD	- Computer-aided design.
DOF	- Degree of freedom.
DSP	- Digital signal processor.
DC	- Direct current.
DUT	- Device under test.
EMG	- Electromyogram.
ERM	- Eccentric rotating mass.
EDR	- Electrodermal response.
FSR	- Force-sensing resistor sensor.
FTS	- Frictional tactile sensation.

FPCB	- Flexible printed circuit board.
FTSA	- Flexible tactile sensor array.
FSS	- Feedback stimulation system.
FPC	- Flexible printed circuit.
HHTTFSS	- Hybrid haptic surface texture-thermal feedback stimulation system.
HPVFSS	- Hybrid haptic pressure-vibration feedback stimulation system.
IEEE	- Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers.
IRB	- Institutional review board.
IoT	- Internet of Things.
IP	- An Internet Protocol address.
LED	- Light-emitting diode.
LRA	- Linear resonant actuator.
MORPH	- Moelectrically – operated RFID prosthetic hand.
MR	- Magnetic Resonance.
MRFs	- Magnetorheological fluids.
MT	- Mechano-tactile display.
NG	- Nanogenerator.
NWR	- Human nociceptive withdrawal reflex
PET	- Polyethylene terephthalate.
PMMA	- Polymathic methacrylate.
PVDF	- Perpendicular polyvinylidene difluoride.
PWM	- Pulse width modulation.
PID	- Proportional, integral, and derivative controller

QTC	- Quantum Tunnelling Composite pressure sensor.
QOL	- Quality Of Life.
RFID	- Radio-frequency identification.
SIR	- Stimuli Identification Rate.
SAMs	- Southampton adaptive manipulation scheme.
SHP	- Soft Hand Pro.
SD	- Standard Deviation.
SEM	- Scanning electron microscope.
TR	- Targeted nerve reinnervation.
VCSEL	- Vertical-Cavity Surface-Emitting Laser.
VT	- Vibro-tactile display.
VLC	- Visible Light Communication.



PTTA UTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$F_L$	- Load fitting function.
$F_m$	- Load acts on the center of the palm.
$F_m$	- Tangential force.
$F_n$	- Maximum normal force.
$F_t$	- Tensile force.
$F_s$	- Upper arm gear tangential force.
$H$	- Heavy pressure level.
$\vec{I}(t)$	- Index finger pressure sensor signal.
$L$	- Light pressure level.
$L_m$	- Distance from the center of the palm to the elbow pivot.
$L_f$	- The index finger length.
$l$	- Applied load.
$L_s$	- Applying stander load.
$M$	- Middle pressure level.
$Ma$	- Matchstick surface.
$\vec{M}(t)$	- Middle finger pressure sensor signal.
$M_f$	- Applied the moments.
$M_s$	- Driving moment of the servomotor.
$\vec{P}(t)$	- Pinky finger pressure sensor signal.
$\vec{Pa}(t)$	- Palm finger pressure sensor signal.

## REFERENCES

1. LeBlanc, M., Estimates of Amputee Population. 2008: Online Referencing.
2. Sheehan, T.P., Rehabilitation and Prosthetic Restoration in Upper Limb Amputation. 2015.
3. Pylatiuk, C., S. Schulz, and L. Döderlein, Results of an Internet survey of myoelectric prosthetic hand users. *Prosthetics and orthotics international*, 2007. 31(4): p. 362-370.
4. Eugene Rossouw Orthotist and Prosthetist. Arm prostheses. 2019; Available from: <http://www.prostheticrehabclinic.co.za/understanding-prosthetics/arm-prostheses/>.
5. The Board of Trustees of the Science Museum. Artificial arm, Roehampton, England, 1964,. 1999; Available from: <http://collection.sciencemuseum.org.uk/objects/co476751/artificial-arm-roehampton-england-1964-artificial-arm>.
6. IEEE Maker Project. 3D-Printed Myoelectric Hand Prosthesis. 2019; Available from: <https://transmitter.ieee.org/makerproject/view/c180f>.
7. MIT Technology Review. An Artificial Hand with Real Feeling. 2014; Available from: <https://www.technologyreview.com/s/524676/an-artificial-hand-with-real-feeling/>.
8. Slade, P., A. Akhtar, M. Nguyen, and T. Bretl. Tact: Design and performance of an open-source, affordable, myoelectric prosthetic hand. in *Robotics and Automation (ICRA), 2015 IEEE International Conference on*. 2015. IEEE.
9. Carter, J. and D. Fourney, Research based tactile and haptic interaction guidelines. *Guidelines on Tactile and Haptic Interaction (GOTHI 2005)*, 2005: p. 84-92.
10. Stanney, K.M., M. Mollaghasemi, L. Reeves, R. Breaux, and D.A. Graeber, Usability engineering of virtual environments (VEs): identifying multiple

- criteria that drive effective VE system design. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 2003. 58(4): p. 447-481.
11. Hale, K.S. and K.M. Stanney, Deriving haptic design guidelines from human physiological, psychophysical, and neurological foundations. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, 2004. 24(2): p. 33-39.
  12. Dargahi, J. and S. Najarian, Human tactile perception as a standard for artificial tactile sensing—a review. *The International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery*, 2004. 1(1): p. 23-35.
  13. Learning, L. Somatosensation. Available from: <https://courses.lumenlearning.com/austincc-ap1/chapter/somatosensation/>.
  14. Graczyk, E.L., M.A. Schiefer, H.P. Saal, B.P. Delhay, S.J. Bensmaia, and D.J. Tyler, The neural basis of perceived intensity in natural and artificial touch. *Science translational medicine*, 2016. 8(362): p. 362ra142-362ra142.
  15. Schiefer, M., D. Tan, S.M. Sidek, and D.J. Tyler, Sensory feedback by peripheral nerve stimulation improves task performance in individuals with upper limb loss using a myoelectric prosthesis. *Journal of neural engineering*, 2015. 13(1): p. 016001.
  16. STOICA, I., Tactile Feedback Experiments for Forearm Prosthesis with Myoelectric Control. *SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY*, 2017. 20(2): p. 101-114.
  17. Antfolk, C., M. D'Alonzo, M. Controzzi, G. Lundborg, B. Rosén, F. Sebelius, and C. Cipriani, Artificial redirection of sensation from prosthetic fingers to the phantom hand map on transradial amputees: vibrotactile versus mechanotactile sensory feedback. *IEEE transactions on neural systems and rehabilitation engineering*, 2013. 21(1): p. 112-120.
  18. Battaglia, E., J.P. Clark, M. Bianchi, M.G. Catalano, A. Bicchi, and M.K. O'Malley. The Rice Haptic Rocker: skin stretch haptic feedback with the Pisa/IIT SoftHand. in *World Haptics Conference (WHC)*, 2017 IEEE. 2017. Munich, Germany: IEEE.
  19. Martin, T.B., R.O. Ambrose, M.A. Diftler, R. Platt, and M. Butzer. Tactile gloves for autonomous grasping with the NASA/DARPA Robonaut. in *Robotics and Automation*, 2004. Proceedings. ICRA'04. 2004 IEEE International Conference on. 2004. IEEE.

20. Nabeel, M., K. Aqeel, M.N. Ashraf, M.I. Awan, and M. Khurram. Vibrotactile stimulation for 3D printed prosthetic hand. in Robotics and Artificial Intelligence (ICRAI), 2016 2nd International Conference on. 2016. Rawalpindi, Pakistan: IEEE.
21. Jimenez, M.C. and J.A. Fishel. Evaluation of force, vibration and thermal tactile feedback in prosthetic limbs. in Haptics Symposium (HAPTICS), 2014 IEEE. 2014. Houston, TX, USA: IEEE.
22. Nemah, M.N., C.Y. Low, P. Ong, and N.A.C. Zakaria, Development and evaluation of a spot sensor glove for the tactile prosthetic hand. International Journal of Engineering and Technology (UAE), 2018. 7(4): p. 63-69.
23. Osborn, L., W.W. Lee, R. Kaliki, and N. Thakor. Tactile feedback in upper limb prosthetic devices using flexible textile force sensors. in Biomedical Robotics and Biomechanics (2014 5th IEEE RAS & EMBS International Conference on. 2014. , Sao Paulo, Brazil: IEEE.
24. Pamungkas, D. and K. Ward. Tactile sensing system using electro-tactile feedback. in Automation, Robotics and Applications (ICARA), 2015 6th International Conference on. 2015. Queenstown, New Zealand: IEEE.
25. Aziziaghdam, M. and E. Samur. Providing contact sensory feedback for upper limb robotic prosthesis. in Haptics Symposium (HAPTICS), 2014 IEEE. 2014. IEEE.
26. Aziziaghdam, M. and E. Samur, Contact Feedback for Upper Limb Prostheses. TrC-IFTToMM Symposium on Theory of Machines and Mechanisms, 2015: p. 1-6.
27. Aziziaghdam, M. and E. Samur, Real-Time Contact Sensory Feedback for Upper Limb Robotic Prostheses. IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics, 2017. 22(4): p. 1786-1795.
28. Moriyama, T.K., A. Nishi, R. Sakuragi, T. Nakamura, and H. Kajimoto. Development of a wearable haptic device that presents haptics sensation of the finger pad to the forearm. in 2018 IEEE Haptics Symposium (HAPTICS). 2018. IEEE.
29. Fontana, J.M., R. O'Brien, E. Laciari, L.S. Maglione, and L. Molisani, Vibrotactile Stimulation in the Upper-Arm for Restoring Individual Finger

- Sensations in Hand Prosthesis. *Journal of Medical and Biological Engineering*, 2018. 38(5): p. 782–789.
30. Bimbo, J., C. Pacchierotti, M. Aggravi, N. Tsagarakis, and D. Prattichizzo. Teleoperation in cluttered environments using wearable haptic feedback. in *Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), 2017 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on*. 2017. IEEE.
  31. Wheeler, J., K. Bark, J. Savall, and M. Cutkosky, Investigation of rotational skin stretch for proprioceptive feedback with application to myoelectric systems. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 2010. 18(1): p. 58-66.
  32. Treadway, E., B. Gillespie, D. Bolger, A. Blank, M. O'Malley, and A. Davis. The role of auxiliary and referred haptic feedback in myoelectric control. in *World Haptics Conference (WHC), 2015 IEEE*. 2015. Evanston, IL, USA: IEEE.
  33. Casini, S., M. Morvidoni, M. Bianchi, M. Catalano, G. Grioli, and A. Bicchi. Design and realization of the cuff-clenching upper-limb force feedback wearable device for distributed mechano-tactile stimulation of normal and tangential skin forces. in *Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), 2015 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on*. 2015. , Hamburg, Germany: IEEE.
  34. Ueda, Y. and C. Ishii. Development of a feedback device of temperature sensation for a myoelectric prosthetic hand by using Peltier element. in *2016 International Conference on Advanced Mechatronic Systems (ICAMechS)*. 2016. , Melbourne, VIC, Australia: IEEE.
  35. Gallo, S., C. Son, H.J. Lee, H. Bleuler, and I.-J. Cho, A flexible multimodal tactile display for delivering shape and material information. *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 2015. 236: p. 180-189.
  36. Bark, K.Y.J., Rotational skin stretch feedback: a new approach to wearable haptic display. Vol. UMI Number: 3351422. 2009: Stanford University.
  37. Fan, R.E., M.O. Culjat, C.-H. King, M.L. Franco, R. Boryk, J.W. Bisley, E. Dutson, and W.S. Grundfest, A haptic feedback system for lower-limb prostheses. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 2008. 16(3): p. 270-277.



38. Riley, Z.A., E. Krepkovich, and E. Mayland, Flexion-withdrawal reflexes in the upper-limb adapt to the position of the limb. Palo Alto, CA, 2009.
39. Puld, P.  
Withdrawal Reflex. 2017, July 21; Available from:  
<http://physiologyplus.com/withdrawal-reflex/>.
40. Zollo, L., S. Roccella, E. Guglielmelli, M.C. Carrozza, and P. Dario, Biomechatronic design and control of an anthropomorphic artificial hand for prosthetic and robotic applications. *IEEE/ASME Transactions On Mechatronics*, 2007. 12(4): p. 418-429.
41. Edin, B.B., L. Beccai, L. Ascari, S. Roccella, J.-J. Cabibihan, and M.C. Carrozza. Bio-inspired approach for the design and characterization of a tactile sensory system for a cybernetic prosthetic hand. in *Proceedings 2006 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, 2006. ICRA 2006. 2006. IEEE*.
42. Cipriani, C., F. Zacccone, S. Micera, and M.C. Carrozza, On the shared control of an EMG-controlled prosthetic hand: analysis of user–prosthesis interaction. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 2008. 24(1): p. 170-184.
43. Chatterjee, A., P. Chaubey, J. Martin, and N.V. Thakor. Quantifying prosthesis control improvements using a vibrotactile representation of grip force. in *2008 IEEE Region 5 Conference. 2008. IEEE*.
44. Huang, H., T. Li, C. Antfolk, C. Enz, J. Justiz, and V.M. Koch. Experiment and investigation of two types of vibrotactile devices. in *Biomedical Robotics and Biomechatronics (BioRob), 2016 6th IEEE International Conference on. 2016. Singapore, Singapore: Ieee*.
45. Kapur, P., M. Jensen, L.J. Buxbaum, S.A. Jax, and K.J. Kuchenbecker. Spatially distributed tactile feedback for kinesthetic motion guidance. in *Haptics Symposium 2010. 2010. Waltham, Massachusetts: IEEE*.
46. D'Alonzo, M., F. Clemente, and C. Cipriani, Vibrotactile stimulation promotes embodiment of an alien hand in amputees with phantom sensations. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 2015. 23(3): p. 450-457.
47. Motamedi, M.R., J.-P. Roberge, and V. Duchaine, The Use of vibrotactile feedback to restore texture recognition capabilities, and the effect of subject

- training. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 2017. 25(8): p. 1230-1239.
48. Fukushima, S., H. Sekiguchi, Y. Saito, W. Iida, T. Nozaki, and K. Ohnishi, Artificial Replacement of Human Sensation Using Haptic Transplant Technology. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 2018. 65(5): p. 3985-3994.
  49. Nemah, M.N., C.Y. Low, O.H. Aldulaymi, P. Ong, and A.A. Qasim, A Review of Non-Invasive Haptic Feedback stimulation Techniques for Upper Extremity Prostheses. *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*, 2019. 11(1).
  50. Hannig, G., B. Deml, and A. Mihalyi, Simulating surface roughness in virtual environments by vibro-tactile feedback. *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, 2007. 40(16): p. 224-229.
  51. Micera, S., Staying in touch: toward the restoration of sensory feedback in hand prostheses using peripheral neural stimulation. *IEEE pulse*, 2016. 7(3): p. 16-19.
  52. Ziegler-Graham, K., E.J. MacKenzie, P.L. Ephraim, T.G. Travison, and R. Brookmeyer, Estimating the prevalence of limb loss in the United States: 2005 to 2050. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, 2008. 89(3): p. 422-429.
  53. Yang, T.-H., S.Y. Kim, and D.-S. Kwon, Design of new micro actuator for tactile display. *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, 2008. 41(2): p. 14693-14698.
  54. Huang, H., T. Li, C. Bruschini, C. Enz, J. Justiz, C. Antfolk, and V.M. Koch. Multi-modal Sensory Feedback System for Upper Limb Amputees. in *CAS (NGCAS)*, 2017 New Generation of. 2017. IEEE.
  55. Zou, L., C. Ge, Z.J. Wang, E. Cretu, and X. Li, Novel tactile sensor technology and smart tactile sensing systems: A review. *Sensors*, 2017. 17(11): p. 26-53.
  56. Yi, Z., Y. Zhang, and J. Peters, Biomimetic Tactile Sensors and Signal Processing with Spike Trains: A Review. *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 2017. 269: p. 41-52.
  57. Ponmozhi, J., C. Frias, T. Marques, and O. Frazao, Smart sensors/actuators for biomedical applications. *Measurement*, 2012. 45(7): p. 1675-1688.
  58. Kappassov, Z., J.-A. Corrales, and V. Perdereau, Tactile sensing in dexterous robot hands. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 2015. 74: p. 195-220.

59. Yousef, H., M. Boukallel, and K. Althoefer, Tactile sensing for dexterous in-hand manipulation in robotics—A review. *Sensors and Actuators A: physical*, 2011. 167(2): p. 171-187.
60. Castano, L.M. and A.B. Flatau, Smart fabric sensors and e-textile technologies: a review. *Smart Materials and Structures*, 2014. 23(5): p. 053001.
61. Fang, Y., N. Hettiarachchi, D. Zhou, and H. Liu, Multi-modal sensing techniques for interfacing hand prostheses: a review. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 2015. 15(11): p. 6065-6076.
62. Shull, P.B. and D.D. Damian, Haptic wearables as sensory replacement, sensory augmentation and trainer—a review. *Journal of neuroengineering and rehabilitation*, 2015. 12(1): p. 59.
63. Stephens-Fripp, B., G. Alici, and R. Mutlu, A review of non-invasive sensory feedback methods for transradial prosthetic hands. *IEEE Access*, 2018. 6: p. 6878-6899.
64. Nghiem, B.T., I.C. Sando, R.B. Gillespie, B.L. McLaughlin, G.J. Gerling, N.B. Langhals, M.G. Urbanchek, and P.S. Cederna, Providing a sense of touch to prosthetic hands. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*, 2015. 135(6): p. 1652-1663.
65. Li, G., L. Zhang, Y. Sun, and J. Kong, Towards the sEMG hand: internet of things sensors and haptic feedback application. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 2018: p. 1-18.
66. Biddiss, E., D. Beaton, and T. Chau, Consumer design priorities for upper limb prosthetics. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology*, 2007. 2(6): p. 346-357.
67. Kyberd, P.J., C. Wartenberg, L. Sandsjö, S. Jönsson, D. Gow, J. Frid, C. Almström, and L. Sperling, Survey of upper-extremity prosthesis users in Sweden and the United Kingdom. *JPO: Journal of Prosthetics and Orthotics*, 2007. 19(2): p. 55-62.
68. Lewis, S., M.F. Russold, H. Dietl, and E. Kaniusas. User demands for sensory feedback in upper extremity prostheses. in *Medical Measurements and Applications Proceedings (MeMeA)*, 2012 IEEE International Symposium on. 2012. IEEE.



69. Dietrich, C., K. Walter-Walsh, S. Preißler, G.O. Hofmann, O.W. Witte, W.H. Miltner, and T. Weiss, Sensory feedback prosthesis reduces phantom limb pain: proof of a principle. *Neuroscience letters*, 2012. 507(2): p. 97-100.
70. Zheng, Y., Y. Peng, G. Wang, X. Liu, X. Dong, and J. Wang, Development and evaluation of a sensor glove for hand function assessment and preliminary attempts at assessing hand coordination. *Measurement*, 2016. 93: p. 1-12.
71. Correia, V., V. Sencadas, M. Martins, C. Ribeiro, P. Alpuim, J.G. Rocha, I. Morales, C. Atienza, and S. Lanceros-Méndez, Piezoresistive sensors for force mapping of hip-prostheses. *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 2013. 195: p. 133-138.
72. Kim, D.-K., J.-H. Kim, Y.-T. Kim, M.-S. Kim, Y.-K. Park, and Y.-H. Kwon, Robot fingertip tactile sensing module with a 3D-curved shape using molding technique. *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 2013. 203: p. 421-429.
73. Matulevich, B., G.E. Loeb, and J.A. Fishel. Utility of contact detection reflexes in prosthetic hand control. in *Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, 2013 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on. 2013. IEEE.
74. Büscher, G.H., R. Kõiva, C. Schürmann, R. Haschke, and H.J. Ritter, Flexible and stretchable fabric-based tactile sensor. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 2015. 63: p. 244-252.
75. Büscher, G., R. Kõiva, C. Schürmann, R. Haschke, and H.J. Ritter. Tactile dataglove with fabric-based sensors. in *Humanoid Robots (Humanoids)*, 2012 12th IEEE-RAS International Conference on. 2012. IEEE.
76. Buescher, G., M. Meier, G. Walck, R. Haschke, and H.J. Ritter. Augmenting curved robot surfaces with soft tactile skin. in *Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, 2015 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on. 2015. IEEE.
77. Wong, R.D.P., J.D. Posner, and V.J. Santos, Flexible microfluidic normal force sensor skin for tactile feedback. *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 2012. 179: p. 62-69.
78. Missinne, J., E. Bosman, B. Van Hoe, R. Verplancke, G. Van Steenberge, S. Kalathimekkad, P. Van Daele, and J. Vanfleteren, Ultra thin optical tactile shear sensor. *Procedia Engineering*, 2011. 25: p. 1393-1396.

79. Missinne, J., E. Bosman, B. Van Hoe, G. Van Steenberge, P. Van Daele, and J. Vanfleteren. Embedded flexible optical shear sensor. in *Sensors*, 2010 IEEE. 2010. IEEE.
80. Missinne, J., E. Bosman, B. Van Hoe, G. Van Steenberge, S. Kalathimekkad, P. Van Daele, and J. Vanfleteren, Flexible shear sensor based on embedded optoelectronic components. *IEEE Photonics Technology Letters*, 2011. 23(12): p. 771-773.
81. Sriram, G., A.N. Jensen, and S.C. Chiu. Slippage control for a smart prosthetic hand prototype via modified tactile sensory feedback. in *Electro/Information Technology (EIT)*, 2014 IEEE International Conference on. 2014. IEEE.
82. Osborn, L., N.V. Thakor, and R. Kaliki. Utilizing tactile feedback for biomimetic grasping control in upper limb prostheses. in *SENSORS*, 2013 IEEE. 2013. IEEE.
83. Fang, P., L. Tian, Y. Zheng, J. Huang, and G. Li. Using thin-film piezoelectret to detect tactile and slip signals for restoring sensation of prosthetic hands. in *Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC)*, 2014 36th Annual International Conference of the IEEE. 2014. IEEE.
84. Engeberg, E.D. and S. Meek, Enhanced visual feedback for slip prevention with a prosthetic hand. *Prosthetics and orthotics international*, 2012. 36(4): p. 423-429.
85. Engeberg, E.D. and S.G. Meek, Adaptive sliding mode control for prosthetic hands to simultaneously prevent slip and minimize deformation of grasped objects. *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, 2013. 18(1): p. 376-385.
86. Engeberg, E.D., S.G. Meek, and M.A. Minor, Hybrid force–velocity sliding mode control of a prosthetic hand. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 2008. 55(5): p. 1572-1581.
87. Engeberg, E.D. and S.G. Meek, Backstepping and sliding mode control hybridized for a prosthetic hand. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 2009. 17(1): p. 70-79.
88. Engeberg, E.D. and S. Meek, Improved grasp force sensitivity for prosthetic hands through force-derivative feedback. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 2008. 55(2): p. 817-821.

89. Hirai, S., A novel model for assessing sliding mechanics and tactile sensation of human-like fingertips during slip action. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 2015. 63: p. 253-267.
90. Wang, Y., K. Xi, D. Mei, G. Liang, and Z. Chen, A Flexible Tactile Sensor Array Based on Pressure Conductive Rubber for Contact Force Measurement and Slip Detection. *Journal of Robotics and Mechatronics*, 2016. 28(3): p. 378-385.
91. Fagiani, R., F. Massi, E. Chatelet, Y. Berthier, and A. Akay, Tactile perception by friction induced vibrations. *Tribology International*, 2011. 44(10): p. 1100-1110.
92. Youn, S., D.G. Seo, and Y.-H. Cho, A micro tactile transceiver for fingertip motion recognition and texture generation. *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 2013. 195: p. 105-112.
93. Yi, Z., Y. Zhang, and J. Peters, Bioinspired tactile sensor for surface roughness discrimination. *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 2017. 255: p. 46-53.
94. Chen, S. and S. Ge, Experimental research on the tactile perception from fingertip skin friction. *Wear*, 2017. 376: p. 305-314.
95. Fishel, J.A., V.J. Santos, and G.E. Loeb. A robust micro-vibration sensor for biomimetic fingertips. in *Biomedical Robotics and Biomechatronics*, 2008. BioRob 2008. 2nd IEEE RAS & EMBS International Conference on. 2008. IEEE.
96. Fujii, Y., S. Okamoto, and Y. Yamada, Friction model of fingertip sliding over wavy surface for friction-variable tactile feedback panel. *Advanced Robotics*, 2016. 30(20): p. 1341-1353.
97. Tomimoto, M., The frictional pattern of tactile sensations in anthropomorphic fingertip. *Tribology International*, 2011. 44(11): p. 1340-1347.
98. Wilde, T. and C. Schwartz, Parametric investigation of soft-body penetration into parallel-ridged textured surfaces for tactile applications. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 2016. 96: p. 393-399.
99. Fagiani, R. and M. Barbieri, A contact mechanics interpretation of the duplex theory of tactile texture perception. *Tribology International*, 2016. 101: p. 49-58.



100. Chimata, G. and C. Schwartz, Tactile Discrimination of Randomly Textured Surfaces: Effect of Friction and Surface Parameters. *Biotribology*, 2017. 11: p. 102-109.
101. Muridan, N., P. Chappell, A. Cranny, and N. White, Texture sensor for a prosthetic hand. *Procedia Engineering*, 2010. 5: p. 605-608.
102. Kerr, E., T. McGinnity, and S. Coleman, Material recognition using tactile sensing. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2018. 94: p. 94-111.
103. Cho, Y., K. Liang, F. Folowosele, B. Miller, and N.V. Thakor. Wireless temperature sensing cosmesis for prosthesis. in *Rehabilitation Robotics*, 2007. ICORR 2007. IEEE 10th International Conference on. 2007. IEEE.
104. Klute, G., G. Rowe, A. Mamishev, and W. Ledoux, The thermal conductivity of prosthetic sockets and liners. *Prosthetics and orthotics international*, 2007. 31(3): p. 292-299.
105. Polishchuk, A., W.T. Navaraj, H. Heidari, and R. Dahiya, Multisensory Smart Glove for Tactile Feedback in Prosthetic Hand. *Procedia Engineering*, 2016. 168: p. 1605-1608.
106. Cotton, D.P., P.H. Chappell, A. Cranny, N.M. White, and S.P. Beeby, A novel thick-film piezoelectric slip sensor for a prosthetic hand. *IEEE sensors journal*, 2007. 7(5): p. 752-761.
107. Kucherhan, D.J., M. Goubbran, V.P. da Fonseca, T.E.A. de Oliveira, E.M. Petriu, and V. Groza. Object Recognition Through Manipulation Using Tactile Enabled Prosthetic Fingers and Feedback Glove-Experimental Study. in *2018 IEEE International Symposium on Medical Measurements and Applications (MeMeA)*. 2018. IEEE.
108. Zhu, J., X. Hou, X. Niu, X. Guo, J. Zhang, J. He, T. Guo, X. Chou, C. Xue, and W. Zhang, The d-arched piezoelectric-triboelectric hybrid nanogenerator as a self-powered vibration sensor. *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 2017. 263: p. 317-325.
109. Savioz, G., V. Ruchet, and Y. Perriard. Study of a miniature magnetorheological fluid actuator for haptic devices. in *Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics (AIM)*, 2010 IEEE/ASME International Conference on. 2010. Montreal, ON, Canada: IEEE.



110. Savioz, G. and Y. Perriard. Self-sensing of linear short-stroke actuators for multi-finger haptic interfaces using induced high frequency oscillations. in Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics (AIM), 2012 IEEE/ASME International Conference on. 2012. IEEE.
111. Savioz, G., M. Markovic, and Y. Perriard. Towards multi-finger haptic devices: A computer keyboard with adjustable force feedback. in Electrical Machines and Systems (ICEMS), 2011 International Conference on. 2011. IEEE.
112. Agharese, N., T. Cloyd, L.H. Blumenschein, M. Raitor, E.W. Hawkes, H. Culbertson, and A.M. Okamura. HapWRAP: Soft Growing Wearable Haptic Device. in 2018 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA). 2018. IEEE.
113. Erwin, A. and F. Sup. Design and perceptibility of a wearable haptic device using low-frequency stimulations on the forearm. in Haptics Symposium (HAPTICS), 2014 IEEE. 2014. IEEE.
114. Kim, K. and J.E. Colgate, Haptic feedback enhances grip force control of sEMG-controlled prosthetic hands in targeted reinnervation amputees. IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering, 2012. 20(6): p. 798-805.
115. D'Alonzo, M., C. Cipriani, and M.C. Carrozza. Vibrotactile sensory substitution in multi-fingered hand prostheses: Evaluation studies. in Rehabilitation Robotics (ICORR), 2011 IEEE International Conference on. 2011. IEEE.
116. Cipriani, C., M. D'Alonzo, and M.C. Carrozza, A miniature vibrotactile sensory substitution device for multifingered hand prosthetics. IEEE transactions on biomedical engineering, 2012. 59(2): p. 400-408.
117. Mohamad Hanif, N.H.H., P. Chappell, N. White, and A. Cranny, A psychophysical investigation on vibrotactile sensing for transradial prosthesis users. Cogent Engineering, 2018. 5(1): p. 1-14.
118. Kapur, P., S. Premakumar, S.A. Jax, L.J. Buxbaum, A.M. Dawson, and K.J. Kuchenbecker. Vibrotactile feedback system for intuitive upper-limb rehabilitation. in EuroHaptics conference, 2009 and Symposium on Haptic



- Interfaces for Virtual Environment and Teleoperator Systems. World Haptics 2009. Third Joint. 2009. IEEE.
119. Bark, K., P. Khanna, R. Irwin, P. Kapur, S.A. Jax, L.J. Buxbaum, and K.J. Kuchenbecker. Lessons in using vibrotactile feedback to guide fast arm motions. in World Haptics Conference (WHC), 2011 IEEE. 2011. IEEE.
  120. Christiansen, R., J.L. Contreras-Vidal, R.B. Gillespie, P.A. Shewokis, and M.K. O'Malley. Vibrotactile feedback of pose error enhances myoelectric control of a prosthetic hand. in World Haptics Conference (WHC), 2013. 2013. IEEE.
  121. Yamada, H., Y. Yamanoi, K. Wakita, and R. Kato. Investigation of a cognitive strain on hand grasping induced by sensory feedback for myoelectric hand. in 2016 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA),. 2016. Stockholm, Sweden: IEEE.
  122. Ninu, A., S. Dosen, S. Muceli, F. Rattay, H. Dietl, and D. Farina, Closed-loop control of grasping with a myoelectric hand prosthesis: Which are the relevant feedback variables for force control? IEEE transactions on neural systems and rehabilitation engineering, 2014. 22(5): p. 1041-1052.
  123. Raveh, E., J. Friedman, and S. Portnoy, Visuomotor behaviors and performance in a dual-task paradigm with and without vibrotactile feedback when using a myoelectric controlled hand. Assistive Technology, 2018. 30(5): p. 274-280.
  124. Hanif, N.M., P. Chappell, A. Cranny, and N. White. Vibratory feedback for artificial hands. in Electronics, Computer and Computation (ICECCO), 2013 International Conference on. 2013. IEEE.
  125. Li, T., H. Huang, C. Antfolk, J. Justiz, and V.M. Koch, Tactile display on the remaining hand for unilateral hand amputees. Current Directions in Biomedical Engineering, 2016. 2(1): p. 399-403.
  126. Gurari, N., K. Smith, M. Madhav, and A.M. Okamura. Environment discrimination with vibration feedback to the foot, arm, and fingertip. in Rehabilitation Robotics, 2009. ICORR 2009. IEEE International Conference on. 2009. IEEE.

127. Cheng, A., K.A. Nichols, H.M. Weeks, N. Gurari, and A.M. Okamura. Conveying the configuration of a virtual human hand using vibrotactile feedback. in Haptics Symposium (HAPTICS), 2012 IEEE. 2012. IEEE.
128. Stephens-Fripp, B., R. Mutlu, and G. Alici. Using Vibration Motors to Create Tactile Apparent Movement for Transradial Prosthetic Sensory Feedback. in 2018 7th IEEE International Conference on Biomedical Robotics and Biomechatronics (Biorob). 2018. , Enschede, Netherlands: IEEE.
129. Rossi, M., M. Bianchi, E. Battaglia, M.G. Catalano, and A. Bicchi, Hap-pro: a wearable haptic device for proprioceptive feedback. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, 2018.
130. Bark, K., J. Wheeler, G. Lee, J. Savall, and M. Cutkosky. A wearable skin stretch device for haptic feedback. in EuroHaptics conference, 2009 and Symposium on Haptic Interfaces for Virtual Environment and Teleoperator Systems. World Haptics 2009. Third Joint. 2009. Salt Lake City, UT, USA: IEEE.
131. Bark, K., J. Wheeler, P. Shull, J. Savall, and M. Cutkosky, Rotational skin stretch feedback: A wearable haptic display for motion. IEEE Transactions on Haptics, 2010. 3(3): p. 166-176.
132. Chinello, F., C. Pacchierotti, N.G. Tsagarakis, and D. Prattichizzo. Design of a wearable skin stretch cutaneous device for the upper limb. in Haptics Symposium (HAPTICS), 2016 IEEE. 2016. IEEE.
133. Chinello, F., C. Pacchierotti, J. Bimbo, N.G. Tsagarakis, and D. Prattichizzo, Design and evaluation of a wearable skin stretch device for haptic guidance. IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters, 2018. 3(1): p. 524-531.
134. Clark, J.P., S.Y. Kim, and M.K. O'Malley. The rice haptic rocker: Altering the perception of skin stretch through mapping and geometric design. in IEEE Haptics Symposium (HAPTICS). 2018. San Francisco, CA, USA.
135. Stephens-Fripp, B., R. Mutlu, and G. Alici. Applying Mechanical Pressure and Skin Stretch Simultaneously for Sensory Feedback in Prosthetic Hands. in 2018 7th IEEE International Conference on Biomedical Robotics and Biomechatronics (Biorob). 2018. , Enschede, Netherlands: IEEE.



136. Kayhan, O., A.K. Nennioglu, and E. Samur. A skin stretch tactor for sensory substitution of wrist proprioception. in *Haptics Symposium (HAPTICS)*, 2018 IEEE. 2018. San Francisco, CA, USA: IEEE.
137. Damian, D.D., M. Ludersdorfer, Y. Kim, A.H. Arieta, R. Pfeifer, and A.M. Okamura. Wearable haptic device for cutaneous force and slip speed display. in *Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 2012 IEEE International Conference on. 2012. Saint Paul, MN, USA: IEEE.
138. Zhang, D., H. Xu, P.B. Shull, J. Liu, and X. Zhu, Somatotopical feedback versus non-somatotopical feedback for phantom digit sensation on amputees using electrotactile stimulation. *Journal of neuroengineering and rehabilitation*, 2015. 12(1): p. 44.
139. Li, P., G. Chai, K. Zhu, N. Lan, and X. Sui. Effects of electrode size and spacing on sensory modalities in the phantom thumb perception area for the forearm amputees. in *EMBC*. 2015.
140. Chai, G., X. Sui, S. Li, L. He, and N. Lan, Characterization of evoked tactile sensation in forearm amputees with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. *Journal of neural engineering*, 2015. 12(6): p. 066002.
141. Jiang, L., Q. Huang, D. Yang, S. Fan, and H. Liu, A novel hybrid closed-loop control approach for dexterous prosthetic hand based on myoelectric control and electrical stimulation. *Industrial Robot: An International Journal*, 2018.
142. Schweisfurth, M.A., M. Markovic, S. Dosen, F. Teich, B. Graimann, and D. Farina, Electrotactile EMG feedback improves the control of prosthesis grasping force. *Journal of neural engineering*, 2016. 13(5): p. 056010.
143. Xu, H., D. Zhang, J.C. Huegel, W. Xu, and X. Zhu, Effects of different tactile feedback on myoelectric closed-loop control for grasping based on electrotactile stimulation. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 2016. 24(8): p. 827-836.
144. Chai, G., D. Zhang, and X. Zhu, Developing non-somatotopic phantom finger sensation to comparable levels of somatotopic sensation through user training with electrotactile stimulation. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 2017. 25(5): p. 469-480.
145. Franceschi, M., L. Seminara, S. Dosen, M. Strbac, M. Valle, and D. Farina, A system for electrotactile feedback using electronic skin and flexible matrix



- electrodes: Experimental evaluation. *IEEE transactions on haptics*, 2017. 10(2): p. 162-172.
146. Štrbac, M., M. Belić, M. Isaković, V. Kojić, G. Bijelić, I. Popović, M. Radotić, S. Došen, M. Marković, and D. Farina, Integrated and flexible multichannel interface for electrotactile stimulation. *Journal of neural engineering*, 2016. 13(4): p. 046014.
  147. Isaković, M., M. Belić, M. Štrbac, I. Popović, S. Došen, D. Farina, and T. Keller, Electrotactile feedback improves performance and facilitates learning in the routine grasping task. *European journal of translational myology*, 2016. 26(3).
  148. Hartmann, C., J. Linde, S. Dosen, D. Farina, L. Seminara, L. Pinna, M. Valle, and M. Capurro. Towards prosthetic systems providing comprehensive tactile feedback for utility and embodiment. in *Biomedical Circuits and Systems Conference (BioCAS)*, 2014 IEEE. 2014. , Lausanne, Switzerland: IEEE.
  149. Dosen, S., M.-C. Schaeffer, and D. Farina, Time-division multiplexing for myoelectric closed-loop control using electrotactile feedback. *Journal of neuroengineering and rehabilitation*, 2014. 11(1): p. 138.
  150. Jiang, L., Q. Huang, J. Zhao, D. Yang, S. Fan, and H. Liu. Noise cancellation for electrotactile sensory feedback of myoelectric forearm prostheses. in *Information and Automation (ICIA)*, 2014 IEEE International Conference on. 2014. IEEE.
  151. Hojatmadani, M. and K. Reed. Asymmetric Cooling and Heating Perception. in *International Conference on Human Haptic Sensing and Touch Enabled Computer Applications*. 2018. Springer.
  152. Gabardi, M., D. Leonardis, M. Solazzi, and A. Frisoli. Development of a miniaturized thermal module designed for integration in a wearable haptic device. in *Haptics Symposium (HAPTICS)*, 2018 IEEE. 2018. IEEE.
  153. Gabardi, M., D. Chiaradia, D. Leonardis, M. Solazzi, and A. Frisoli. A High Performance Thermal Control for Simulation of Different Materials in a Fingertip Haptic Device. in *International Conference on Human Haptic Sensing and Touch Enabled Computer Applications*. 2018. Springer.

154. Nakatani, M., K. Sato, K. Sato, Y. Kawana, D. Takai, K. Minamizawa, and S. Tachi. A novel multimodal tactile module that can provide vibro-thermal feedback. in International AsiaHaptics conference. 2016. Springer.
155. Sato, K. and T. Maeno. Presentation of sudden temperature change using spatially divided warm and cool stimuli. in International Conference on Human Haptic Sensing and Touch Enabled Computer Applications. 2012. Springer.
156. Gallo, S., L. Cucu, N. Thevenaz, A. Sengul, and H. Bleuler. Design and control of a novel thermo-tactile multimodal display. in Haptics Symposium (HAPTICS), 2014 IEEE. 2014. Ieee.
157. Motamedi, M.R., M. Otis, and V. Duchaine, The Impact of Simultaneously Applying Normal Stress and Vibrotactile Stimulation for Feedback of Exteroceptive Information. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering*, 2017. 139(6): p. 061004-1.
158. Meli, L., I. Hussain, M. Aurilio, M. Malvezzi, M. O'Malley, and D. Prattichizzo, The hBracelet: a wearable haptic device for the distributed mechanotactile stimulation of the upper limb. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 2018. 3(3): p. 2198 - 2205.
159. Leonardis, D., M. Solazzi, I. Bortone, and A. Frisoli, A 3-RSR haptic wearable device for rendering fingertip contact forces. *IEEE transactions on haptics*, 2017. 10(3): p. 305-316.
160. Bortone, I., D. Leonardis, N. Mastronicola, A. Crecchi, L. Bonfiglio, C. Procopio, M. Solazzi, and A. Frisoli, Wearable Haptics and Immersive Virtual Reality Rehabilitation Training in Children With Neuromotor Impairments. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 2018. 26(7): p. 1469-1478.
161. D'Alonzo, M., S. Dosen, C. Cipriani, and D. Farina, HyVE: hybrid vibro-electrotactile stimulation for sensory feedback and substitution in rehabilitation. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 2014. 22(2): p. 290-301.
162. D'Alonzo, M., S. Dosen, C. Cipriani, and D. Farina, HyVE—hybrid vibro-electrotactile stimulation—is an efficient approach to multi-channel sensory feedback. *IEEE transactions on haptics*, 2014. 7(2): p. 181-190.



PUSAT TEKNOLOGI DAN TRANSFER APLIKASI  
PTTA UTM  
PEPUSATAAN TUN AMINAH

163. Witteveen, H.J., E.A. Droog, J.S. Rietman, and P.H. Veltink, Vibro-and electrotactile user feedback on hand opening for myoelectric forearm prostheses. *IEEE transactions on biomedical engineering*, 2012. 59(8): p. 2219-2226.
164. Clemente, F. and C. Cipriani. A novel device for multi-modal sensory feedback in hand prosthetics: Design and preliminary prototype. in *Haptics Symposium (HAPTICS)*, 2014 IEEE. 2014. IEEE.
165. Bark, K., J.W. Wheeler, S. Premakumar, and M.R. Cutkosky. Comparison of skin stretch and vibrotactile stimulation for feedback of proprioceptive information. in *Symposium on Haptic Interfaces for Virtual Environments and Teleoperator Systems 2008*. 2008. Reno, Nevada, USA: IEEE.
166. Li, T., H. Huang, J. Justiz, and V.M. Koch, A Miniature Multimodal Actuator for Effective Tactile Feedback: Design and Characterization. *Procedia Engineering*, 2016. 168: p. 1547-1550.
167. Schoepp, K.R., M.R. Dawson, J.S. Schofield, J.P. Carey, and J.S. Hebert, Design and Integration of an Inexpensive Wearable Mechanotactile Feedback System for Myoelectric Prostheses. *IEEE journal of translational engineering in health and medicine*, 2018. 6: p. 1-11.
168. Culjat, M.O., J. Son, R.E. Fan, C. Wottawa, J.W. Bisley, W.S. Grundfest, and E.P. Dutson. Remote tactile sensing glove-based system. in *Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 2010 Annual International Conference of the IEEE*. 2010. Buenos Aires, Argentina: IEEE.
169. Motamedi, M.R., D. Florant, and V. Duchaine, A wearable haptic device based on twisting wire actuators for feedback of tactile pressure information. *Journal of Robotics and Mechatronics*, 2015. 27(4): p. 419-429.
170. Fukushima, S., T. Nozaki, and K. Ohnishi. Development of haptic prosthetic hand for realization of intuitive operation. in *Industrial Electronics Society, IECON 2016-42nd Annual Conference of the IEEE*. 2016. IEEE.
171. Antfolk, C., C. Cipriani, M.C. Carrozza, C. Balkenius, A. Björkman, G. Lundborg, B. Rosén, and F. Sebelius, Transfer of tactile input from an artificial hand to the forearm: experiments in amputees and able-bodied volunteers. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology*, 2013. 8(3): p. 249-254.





172. Huaroto, J.J., E.L. Suarez, H.I. Krebs, P.D. Marasco, and E.A. Vela, A Soft Pneumatic Actuator as a Haptic Wearable Device for Upper Limb Amputees: Towards a Soft Robotic Liner. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 2018. 4(1): p. 17 - 24.
173. Raveh, E., S. Portnoy, and J. Friedman, Myoelectric Prosthesis Users Improve Performance Time and Accuracy using Vibrotactile feedback when Visual Feedback is disturbed. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 2018.
174. Raveh, E., S. Portnoy, and J. Friedman, Adding vibrotactile feedback to a myoelectric-controlled hand improves performance when online visual feedback is disturbed. *Human movement science*, 2018. 58: p. 32-40.
175. Clemente, F., M. D'Alonzo, M. Controzzi, B.B. Edin, and C. Cipriani, Non-invasive, temporally discrete feedback of object contact and release improves grasp control of closed-loop myoelectric transradial prostheses. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 2016. 24(12): p. 1314-1322.
176. Aboseria, M., F. Clemente, L.F. Engels, and C. Cipriani, Discrete Vibro-Tactile Feedback Prevents Object Slippage in Hand Prostheses More Intuitively Than Other Modalities. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 2018. 26(8): p. 1577-1584.
177. Walker, J.M., A.A. Blank, P.A. Shewokis, and M.K. O'Malley, Tactile feedback of object slip facilitates virtual object manipulation. *IEEE transactions on haptics*, 2015. 8(4): p. 454-466.
178. Hanif, N.M., N.N. Hashim, P.H. Chappell, N.M. White, and A. Cranny. Tactile to vibrotactile sensory feedback interface for prosthetic hand users. in *Biomedical Engineering and Sciences (IECBES)*, 2016 IEEE EMBS Conference on. 2016. IEEE.
179. Morita, T., T. Kikuchi, and C. Ishii, Development of Sensory Feedback Device for Myoelectric Prosthetic Hand to Provide Hardness of Objects to Users. *Journal of Robotics and Mechatronics*, 2016. 28(3): p. 361-370.
180. Bianchi, M., G. Valenza, A. Serio, A. Lanata, A. Greco, M. Nardelli, E.P. Scilingo, and A. Bicchi. Design and preliminary affective characterization of a

- novel fabric-based tactile display. in Haptics Symposium (HAPTICS), 2014 IEEE. 2014. , Houston, TX, USA: IEEE.
181. Godfrey, S.B., M. Bianchi, A. Bicchi, and M. Santello. Influence of force feedback on grasp force modulation in prosthetic applications: A preliminary study. in Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 2016 IEEE 38th Annual International Conference of the. 2016. IEEE.
  182. Liu, X., G. Chai, H. Qu, and N. Lan. A sensory feedback system for prosthetic hand based on evoked tactile sensation. in Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 2015 37th Annual International Conference of the IEEE. 2015. IEEE.
  183. Chai, G., S. Li, X. Sui, Z. Mei, L. He, C. Zhong, J. Wang, D. Zhang, X. Zhu, and N. Lan. Phantom finger perception evoked with transcutaneous electrical stimulation for sensory feedback of prosthetic hand. in Neural Engineering (NER), 2013 6th International IEEE/EMBS Conference on. 2013. IEEE.
  184. Franceschi, M., L. Seminara, L. Pinna, S. Dosen, D. Farina, and M. Valle. Preliminary evaluation of the tactile feedback system based on artificial skin and electrotactile stimulation. in Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 2015 37th Annual International Conference of the IEEE. 2015. IEEE.
  185. Germany, E.I., E.J. Pino, and P.E. Aqueveque. Myoelectric intuitive control and transcutaneous electrical stimulation of the forearm for vibrotactile sensation feedback applied to a 3D printed prosthetic hand. in Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 2016 IEEE 38th Annual International Conference of the. 2016. Orlando, FL, USA: IEEE.
  186. Dosen, S., M. Markovic, M. Strbac, V. Kojić, G. Bijelić, T. Keller, and D. Farina, Multichannel electrotactile feedback with spatial and mixed coding for closed-loop control of grasping force in hand prostheses. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 2017. 25(3): p. 183-195.
  187. Štrbac, M., M. Isaković, M. Belić, I. Popović, I. Simanić, D. Farina, T. Keller, and S. Došen, Short-and Long-Term Learning of Feedforward Control of a Myoelectric Prosthesis with Sensory Feedback by Amputees. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 2017. 25(11): p. 2133-2145.



188. Ueda, Y. and C. Ishii, Feedback device of temperature sensation for a myoelectric prosthetic hand. *Advances in Science, Technology and Engineering Systems Journal*, 2017. 2: p. 41- 47.
189. Romero, E. and D. Elias. Design of a non invasive haptic feedback device for transradial myoelectric upper limb prosthesis. in *ANDESCON*, 2016 IEEE. 2016. Arequipa, Peru: IEEE.
190. Ajoudani, A., S.B. Godfrey, M. Bianchi, M.G. Catalano, G. Grioli, N. Tsagarakis, and A. Bicchi, Exploring teleimpedance and tactile feedback for intuitive control of the pisa/iit soft-hand. *IEEE transactions on haptics*, 2014. 7(2): p. 203-215.
191. Peratech. QTC SP200 Series Datasheet SP200-05 Series, Single Point Sensors. November 2015; Available from: <https://www.peratech.com>.
192. Interlink Electronics. FSR integration Guide and Evaluation parts catalog with suggested Electrical interfaces,, 2016; Available from: <https://www.interlinkelectronics.com/>.
193. Measurement Specialties MEAS. Piezo Film Product Guide and Price List. August 1st, 2008; Available from: [https://media.digikey.com/pdf/Data%20Sheets/Measurement%20Specialties%20PDFs/Piezo\\_Film\\_Guide.pdf](https://media.digikey.com/pdf/Data%20Sheets/Measurement%20Specialties%20PDFs/Piezo_Film_Guide.pdf).
194. Texas Instruments. Haptics: Solutions for ERM and LRA Actuators. 2013; Available from: <http://www.ti.com/hpa-aip-hap-awire-20140711-haptics-mc-en>.
195. Sparkfun. Force Sensitive Resistor Hookup Guide. 2018; Available from: <https://learn.sparkfun.com/tutorials/force-sensitive-resistor-hookup-guide>.
196. Atmel. ATmega2560 datasheet. 2015; Available from: [http://ww1.microchip.com/downloads/en/devicedoc/atmel-2549-8-bit-avr-microcontroller-atmega640-1280-1281-2560-2561\\_datasheet.pdf](http://ww1.microchip.com/downloads/en/devicedoc/atmel-2549-8-bit-avr-microcontroller-atmega640-1280-1281-2560-2561_datasheet.pdf).
197. Mark-10. Force and Torque Measurement products. 2000; Available from: <http://www.mark-10.com/pdf/Brochure.pdf>.
198. Lebosse, C., P. Renaud, B. Bayle, and M. de Mathelin, Modeling and evaluation of low-cost force sensors. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 2011. 27(4): p. 815-822.

199. Studio;, S. Gvove-Piezo Vibration Sensor filter board, Version: 1.0., 9/20/2015; Available from: [https://www.mouser.com/ds/2/744/Seeed\\_101020031-1217637.pdf](https://www.mouser.com/ds/2/744/Seeed_101020031-1217637.pdf).
200. Ritter, H., R. Haschke, and J.J. Steil, A dual interaction perspective for robot cognition: grasping as a “rosetta stone”, in Perspectives of neural-symbolic integration. 2007, Springer. p. 159-178.
201. PVT.LTD, D.P. RAISE 3D N2 PLUS. Available from: <https://www.ithink3dp.com>.
202. Donald, A.M. and E.J. Kramer, Plastic deformation mechanisms in poly (acrylonitrile-butadiene styrene)[ABS]. Journal of Materials Science, 1982. 17(6): p. 1765-1772.
203. Antfolk, C., A. Björkman, S.-O. Frank, F. Sebelius, G. Lundborg, and B. Rosen, Sensory feedback from a prosthetic hand based on air-mediated pressure from the hand to the forearm skin. Journal of rehabilitation medicine, 2012. 44(8): p. 702-707.
204. OnlineShop. Micro Servo 5-10g / SG90 Analog. 2018; Available from: <http://www.towerpro.com.tw>.
205. PrecisionMicrodrives. 10mm Linear Resonant Actuator. 2018; Available from: <https://www.precisionmicrodrives.com>.
206. Abdollahpour, M., Design of an experiment to calibrate a peltier element and measuring thermal conductivity. 2014, Eastern Mediterranean University (EMU)-Doğu Akdeniz Üniversitesi (DAÜ).
207. Reyes Hasbun E. A., Development of biomedical terminal devices for a modular prosthetic hand for patients with transradial amputation, in Faculty of Information Measurement and Biotechnical Systems, Department of Bioengineering Systems. 2018, Saint Petersburg Electrotechnical University (ETU): Saint Petersburg.
208. RC moment. JX PDI-HV7246MG 46KG Metal Gear High Voltage Digital Coreless Standard Servo for RC Car 550-700 Airplane Helicopter. 2016; Available from: <https://www.rcmoment.com/p-rm7050.html>.
209. Lazada. Digital Metal Gear MG995 MG996R Torque Servo For Futaba JR RC Truck Racing. 2019; Available from: <https://www.lazada.com.my/-i303586929->

[s405846453.html?urlFlag=true&mp=1&spm=spm=a2o4k.order\\_details.item\\_title.1](https://www.ti.com/lit/an/snva558/snva558.pdf).

210. Seed, Grove - Piezo Vibration Sensor. 2015.
211. Texas Instruments. LM35 Precision Centigrade Temperature Sensors. 2017, December; Available from: [www.ti.com](http://www.ti.com).
212. HB Brand Electronic Components. Thermoelectric Cooler TEC1-12706. 2019; Available from: [www.hebeiltd.com.cn](http://www.hebeiltd.com.cn).
213. Texas Instruments. Linear and Switching Voltage Regulator Fundamentals. 2011; Available from: <http://www.ti.com/lit/an/snva558/snva558.pdf>.
214. Lazada. 1 Channel 5V Relay Module With Optocoupler Protection. 2019; Available from: <https://www.lazada.com.ph/products/1-channel-5v-relay-module-with-optocoupler-protection-i100047427-s100061336.html>.
215. Cytron marketplace. Flexible Bend Sensor 4.5 Inches. 2019; Available from: <https://www.cytron.io/p-flexible-bend-sensor-4.5-inches>.
216. Mohammed Najeh Nemah, C.Y.L., Joerg Hoffmann, Winfried Kraft, Martin Benjak, Gerrit Lange, Pauline Ong, and Ching Theng Koh, A hybrid haptic feedback stimulation system for upper limb prostheses using vibration stimulators. 2018: International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems. p. (In process).



PT TAJEM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNJUNG AMINAH